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An Open Letter to Mr. Joe K. Adams

Dear Mr. Adams:

As a "socially unacceptable truth-teller" in all subculture normalizations except (as I recently discovered to my great joy) the eclectic and tolerant one in and around Harvard Square, I responded to your article in the Psychedelic Review with the kind of zeal Hernan Cortes must have felt after discovering that the Mayan girl, Malinche, could talk to the Tarascan natives for him.

Our university classrooms are filled with your "compartmentalists", camouflaged with pedantic verbiage and fancy formality. I have long been their antagonist, and have learned as well as suffered. As reference, I cite my own experience with the compartmentalist designated by the venerable titles "biochemist" and "biophysicist", as well as with LSD-25. Are those credentials enough to comment on your hypothesis?

I really do agree with your contention that the drug attacks defense mechanisms built up carefully to conceal the truth of our direct sensory perceptions. One would a priori imagine, however, that a drug which forced us to see the world as it is would be welcomed. Why, then, is the entire "consciousness-expanding" drug movement confronted with enormous hostility? And why do we tolerate and perpetuate systematic hypocrisy in our culture?

No matter whether one invokes a mystical, psychological or pseudo-biological definition for the phenomenon of consciousness, it is clear that simple, known, organic compounds alter it. Orgies, black masses, fasting, fevers, ritual dancing, and fraternity initiations, equally have changed the state of man's consciousness in the past, but these are far less amenable to isolation and quantification than, e.g., LSD and psilocybin. The excitement here arises from our present position: we are probably on the threshold of a physical basis of consciousness. Perhaps our times are analogous to those at the beginning of the century, which culminated in today's clear concept of the physical basis of heredity.

The self-replicating DNA molecule, containing within its sequence of nucleotide subunits the blueprint for the developing organism, is also the target for inherited mutational changes. These mutations provide the source of variability for evolution, of course.
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It is, however, only in the context of the raw data of evolution—the Devonian fishes and the Jurassic reptiles, Darwin's finches and the adaptive homologies in the mammalian marsupials of Australia—that the significance of DNA biology can be comprehended.

Similarly, the increasing collection of both qualitative experiential data of psychology in general, and drug-induced behavioral alterations in particular, are requisite for a general theory of mental processes. The fact that the potent hallucinogens—psilocybin, mescaline, LSD, harmine and tryptamine—are all structurally-related indole derivatives (and related to the intermediates in the metabolism of the common amino acid, cryptophane) already suggests a common mode of action. Mescaline is related to adrenaline, a known neurosecretory hormone; and caffeine is a purine similar to the nitrogenous bases in DNA (the genetic material). If these facts do not, at best, point to possible physiological mechanisms, they at least attest to the knowability of consciousness, psychosis and mystical experience. The chemistry eloquently testifies to the amenability of man's soul to his own researches.

The most fundamental evolutionary principle is: selection by the environment of those genotypes most fit for survival. It follows that the particular hormonal and biochemical composition present in modern man represents that which maximized the probability of survival of our ancestors. Both our nervous system and the chemistry which modifies it determine our responses to our complicated surroundings. Both, therefore, have been selected for, over the long haul of evolution. It is likely that many factors would have been involved in this maximization: the organism would have to have a fairly accurate perception of the external world in order to act. But such perception would have to be developed within the framework of the evolutionary potential of ancestral forms. For example, when bi-pedal walking was selected for, it had to involve the sacrifice of the grasping ability found in other primate feet. In the same way, it is likely that the enhanced perception which can be experimentally induced by hallucinogens, although possible within the limits of our evolutionary potential, was selected against because, e.g., it involved sacrifice of motor coordination and also precluded our ability to narrow our attention only to those stimuli in the environment relevant to food gathering, recreation, etc. Empathy often impedes action. It is easy to see how a full consciousness of the multitude of stimuli available at all times in our environs interferes with and confuses the direct goals of survival. Some modification of individual consciousness must be involved in the coordination of individual actions into a group, too. And man, of course, can only survive in groups.

In addition, then, to accurate individual perceptions of the external world, man must contain within his nervous system the profound tolerance for ambiguity between what is directly sensed and what is claimed to be "true" by others. If one could not perpetuate mystiques such as "Communists are evil and out to destroy our American society," or, "Our actions are accountable to an invisible God," for example, thousands of people would not be mobilizable into actions which per se are atrocious and unthinkable.
In some sense, therefore, it is not clear that “hypocrisy is an unstable social state”; it may be necessary for continued, unquestioning group action. However, as the facts of lie-detection devices and the therapeutic value of psychoanalysis and the Catholic confessional corroborate, the individual pays the physically measurable price of tension and neurosis for this hypocrisy. The exposure of this hypocrisy is followed by relief.

To paraphrase W. La Barre, the difference between psychosis and culture lies only in the number of adherents. The tragedy in forcing masses of people to accept the psychosis of our culture is evident in the increasing numbers of severely conflicted neurotics it produces. The a priori assumption of the normalcy of our society shared by many psychologists, et al., only leads to measures which adjust the individual to perpetual conflict. In some cases the conflict can only be eliminated by the recognition of the hypocrisies of our culture. To multitudes of social workers, marriage counselors, school psychologists, and university professors, this admission is not a tolerable solution.

As you say, however, “The greatly increased exposure to facts and ideas, through mass media, travel in foreign countries, etc., can greatly increase the frequency of psychotic episodes, according to the present theory.” But there is no doubt that these things, too, provide a body of evidence that an inherently consistent real world can be perceived by man.

Sincerely,

LYNN SAGAN

---

HALLUCINOGENIC DRUGS
AND THEIR
PSYCHOTHERAPEUTIC USE


This volume, the Proceedings of the Royal Medico-Psychological Association in London, 1961, is probably the best discussion of LSD therapy to date. A wide range of views is presented, ranging from the enthusiastic to the sarcastic, and there is an extremely interesting discussion of the moral, social and religious significance of hallucinogenic drug experiences. An introductory section deals briefly with the pharmacology and physiology of hallucinogenic drugs. The most interesting paper in this section is the one by Bradley and Key, summarizing their conditioning experiments with LSD. They conclude that LSD “has a site of action closely related to the neurophysiological mechanisms concerned with the filtering and integration of sensory information” (p. 10).

The contributions to the main theme of the volume, the therapeutic uses of hallucinogens, can be divided into three groups. The first group are analysts who are in the main sceptical of the drugs, even when they have used them on patients. The second group are existentially oriented psychotherapists who emphasize the phenomenology of the experience, its effects on the relationship between therapist and patient, the importance of a nurturing setting and the emergence of repressed material. The third group represents a kind of standard, empirical, psychiatric approach to these drugs where LSD becomes just another treatment to be tried out, like electroshock, hypnosis or anything else.

The paper by Michael Fordham, the eminent Jungian analyst, may be taken as representative of the first group. On the basis of contact with three patients who had taken LSD in another setting, he concludes (pp. 129-30) that “lasting therapeutic effect of the drug is slight,” that “by far the strongest therapeutic agent is the transference,” that “essential aspects of it the transference cannot be worked through” (although at least two other English Jungians claim that they can), and that “the transference illusion of... cure... experienced by patients can be participated in by the therapist.”

The second group of therapists is exemplified in papers by Sandison (one of the earliest LSD pioneers), by Eisner, Spencer, Cameron, Arendsen-Hein and Lake. Sandison’s paper is an extremely balanced presentation. LSD is seen as facilitating insight through the release of unconscious material, both on the personal and on the archetypal level. The importance of environment and special training of therapists is stressed. Sandison also points out that statistical proof of the efficacy of LSD treatment is still lacking, and that religious and ethical considerations may bias the evaluation of this approach. Spencer’s paper on permissive group therapy with LSD in schizophrenic women describes methods similar to those developed for the treatment of alcoholics in Canada and for the rehabilitation of convicts in